FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE

LETTERS PATENT APPEAL NO. 187 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 91 OF 2002

Office notes, office Memoranda of appearances, Court's orders or directions & Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders

CORAM: SMT. RANJANA DESAI &
SMT.V.K. TAHILRAMANI, JJ.

11/9/2006

P.C.:-

We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the appellant.

The appellant was charged of absence without permission. Both the reasons given by the appellant for his absence are unacceptable. According to him on 9/3/94 to 9/8/94 he was sick. However, he did not get himself examined from the Panel doctors. He did not prove his medical certificate by examining the concerned doctor. This explanation was, therefore, rightly rejected.

The second reason given by the appellant is that he was apprehending arrest by police. Apprehension of arrest by police can never be a ground for absence. That also has rightly been not accepted by the Labour Court. The learned Single Judge has rightly confirmed the order of the Labour Court.

No interference is called for. Letters Patent Appeal is dismissed.

.....